### Purpose and Characteristics of the Data Series

The *U.S. Corporate R&D* data series supplements the NSF's SRS data series on U.S. industry R&D spending with timely information on both industrial sectors and individual firms. *U.S. Corporate R&D* is created under a joint agreement by U.S. Department of Commerce's OTP and the NSF's SRS. Based on Standard & Poor's *Compustat* database, the new data series features, for the years 1996 and 1997, the combined domestic and overseas R&D spending by the top 500 publicly held corporations headquartered in the United States. The data series provides not only individual firm and industry level R&D data, but also contextual data on net sales, capital spending, and employment.

## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SRS INDUSTRY R&D DATA SERIES

The long-established SRS data series on U.S. industry R&D and the U.S. Corporate R&D data series are distinctly different, but serve complementary needs. The SRS data series on industrial R&D provides national estimates of the expenditures on R&D performed within the United States by industrial firms, whether U.S. or foreign-owned. Designed to capture all industrial R&D performed domestically, the data series includes, but does not distinguish between, privately held and publicly held firms. In providing the most comprehensive data on U.S. domestic R&D spending, the data series necessarily precludes information on individual firm activity, since it uses confidential firm data provided to the Bureau of Census. The data series selectively excludes the R&D spending of U.S. companies overseas, which is published in a separate SRS data series on U.S. foreign subsidiaries.

Among the SRS data series statistics are estimates of total R&D, the portion financed by the Federal Government, and the portion financed by the companies themselves or by other non-federal sources, such as state and local governments or other industrial firms under contracts or subcontracts. Total R&D is also separated into its character-of-work components: basic research, applied research, and development. Other statistics include R&D financed by a domestic firm but performed outside the United States, R&D contracted to organizations outside of the firm, and the funds spent to perform energy-related R&D. The series also provides statistics

on domestic net sales, number of employees, number of R&D-performing scientists and engineers, and cost per R&D scientist and engineer.

These data are acquired through SRS's Survey of Industrial Research and Development. SRS has sponsored and managed surveys of industrial R&D since 1953. The content of these surveys has been expanded and refined over the years in response to an increasing need by policy makers for more detailed information on the nation's R&D effort. Beginning with the 1992 survey, the sample size was increased from approximately 14,000 to approximately 25,000 firms. This increase was made for several reasons: (1) to account better for births of R&D-performing firms in the survey universe; (2) to more fully and accurately survey R&D performed by nonmanufacturing firms, especially in the service sector; and (3) to gather more current information about potential R&D performers.

### Characteristics of the U.S. $Corporate \, R\&D \, {\sf Data} \, {\sf Series}$

Because it is derived from Standard and Poor's Compustat, U.S. Corporate R&D meets a variety of analytic needs different from those provided by the Census-based SRS industry R&D data series. For example, tallies of latest year R&D spending data are available for firms very soon after the close of their fiscal year. By July of each year, *Compustat* compiles the latest R&D figures for a majority of the 9,800 active U.S. companies in its database. While U.S. Corporate R&D represents a smaller number of R&D firms than the SRS data series, it is sufficiently large and overlapping to help substantiate SRS R&D spending estimates issued earlier in the year for the most recently completed year. Furthermore, because Compustat provides data on other performance indicators for hundreds of individual firms (e.g., sales, employment, exports, foreign sales, and profits), the data series contains information that provides immediate context for analyses of industry R&D activity. Possible relationships between R&D spending and a wide variety of factors can be explored, the outcomes of which may be useful to researchers and policy makers. In addition, because it includes publicly held firms, U.S. Corporate R&D can provide R&D spending and other data for

# Some Structural Differences between the U.S. Corporate R&D and the SRS Data Series

- The SRS data series includes privately held firms, whereas, U.S. Corporate R&D does not.
- Firms switching from private to public ownership may enter the U.S. Corporate R&D 500 top firms. Switches in ownership status do not influence the SRS data series
- In contrast to the SRS data series, *U.S. Corporate R&D* generally attributes R&D expense to the firm that is the research funding source, not the performer of the research (i.e., not contractors).
- The SRS data series includes R&D conducted in the United States by firms headquartered outside the United States, whereas U.S. Corporate R&D excludes such R&D.
- The R&D expenses of foreign firms that are acquired by U.S.-headquartered firms may be added to the *U.S. Corporate R&D* top 500 firm total. Conversely, U.S.-headquartered firms that are acquired by foreign-headquartered firms are no longer included in the top 500. The SRS data series is unaffected by change in national affiliation of a given firm or subsidiary conducting R&D in the United States.
- The SRS data series excludes U.S. firm R&D conducted abroad, while U.S. Corporate R&D includes it.
- The SRS data series includes the R&D expenditures of banks, utilities, and property and casualty companies, while U.S. Corporate R&D does not.

individual firms that often can be linked with other data series, thereby allowing for more detailed analysis of U.S. industrial R&D.

#### THE SOURCE DATABASE—COMPUSTAT:

U.S. Corporate R&D is derived from Standard and Poor's Compustat database, which provides 20 years of annual and monthly data and 48 quarters of quarterly data for more than 18,500 U.S. and Canadian companies. Of these firms, more than 9,800 are active U.S. companies (of which more than 3,400 conduct R&D) and approximately 8,200 are no longer active U.S. companies (due to buyouts, bankruptcy, etc.). Compustat provides R&D data for corporations, and also provides other financial, statistical, and market data for corporations, banks, savings and loans, business segments, geographic areas, industry composites, aggregates, and indexes. It provides coverage of annual and quarterly Income Statement, Balance Sheet, Statement of Cash Flows, and supplemental data items on publicly-held companies.

Compustat company data are derived from publicly held companies, specifically those trading on the New York Stock Exchange, American Stock Exchange, National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotations (NASDAQ), Over-the Counter, three Canadian stock exchanges, and wholly owned subsidiaries of companies that are required to file with the SEC.

The convention used by *Compustat* to adjust for the fact that the fiscal years of individual U.S. firms vary, is to treat fiscal years ending January 1 through May 31 as ending in the prior calendar year. Thus, the data for a fiscal year beginning on June 1, 1997 and ending on May 31, 1998 are reported as the year 1997, whereas data for a fiscal year beginning on July 1, 1997 and ending on June 30, 1998, are reported as the year 1998.

### COMPUSTAT TREATMENT OF R&D EXPENSES

Because *Compustat* draws its R&D data from the 10-K reports that corporations file with the SEC, it relies fundamentally on the SEC's definition of R&D. It defines R&D expenditure as all costs incurred during the year, by the company in question, that relate to the research and development of new products, processes, or services. Such expenditures generally include related software expenses and amortization of software costs. They generally exclude the following items: (1) customer or government-sponsored R&D (including reimbursable

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>While *Compustat* provides data on a limited number of foreign firms, another comparable Standard and Poor's database, *Global Vantage*, is the primary source of information for these firms.

# STATISTICAL COMPARISONS BETWEEN THE U.S. CORPORATE R&D AND THE SRS DATA SERIES


Table 3 (page 25) and figure 14 compare 1997 R&D spending data from the *U.S. Corporate R&D* and SRS industry survey. Differences in the R&D spending of various industrial sectors are attributable to the smaller universe of firms in *U.S. Corporate R&D*, as well as, the structural differences between the two data series discussed in the text box on the previous page.

The *U.S. Corporate R&D* total of \$111 billion for the top 500-R&D spenders in 1997 is 83.4 percent of the SRS industry survey estimate of \$134 billion—about what might be expected given differences in the two series. According to the SRS industry survey, R&D increased by 10.4 percent over the survey's \$121 billion total, in comparison to a 9.0-percent increase according to the *U.S. Corporate R&D* data series. *U.S. Corporate R&D* aggregates for the following four major industries also compare reasonably to corresponding SRS survey aggregates: aircraft, guided missiles, and space vehicles (82.3 percent of SRS data); chemicals (96.9 percent); information and electronics (89.9 percent); and machinery and electrical equipment (70.1 percent).

Nevertheless, within information and electronics, the *U.S. Corporate R&D* and the SRS industry survey assign quite different R&D spending amounts for various detailed industries. For example, according to *U.S. Corporate R&D*, the five computer-related hardware detailed sectors together spent a total of \$16.9 billion, compared to the SRS survey's \$12.8 billion. Conversely, *U.S. Corporate R&D* attributes \$6.6 billion to electronic components, while SRS assigns \$10.8 billion. Such differences between the two series within information and electronics may be due largely to differences in how *Compustat* and the U.S. Census Bureau assign Standard Industrial Codes to firms.

U.S. Corporate R&D attributes significantly higher amounts of R&D spending than SRS to two major sectors, motor vehicles and surface transportation and the large "substances" portion of medical substances and devices—respectively, 130.7 and 153.9 percent of the SRS amount. One possible explanation for these differences may be that U.S. firms in these industries spend greater amount for R&D abroad than do their foreign counterparts in the United States. Another explanation may obtain from the fact that SRS assigns R&D spending to the R&D performer (e.g. contractors), whereas, U.S. Corporate R&D attributes the R&D spending to the funding source. Accordingly, significant amounts of motor vehicle research may be under contract to some of the many firms in other sectors that provide motor vehicle subcomponents. In the case of medical substances, the SRS survey may view a sizable amount of that industry's R&D as occurring under contract in testing/research services. This "transfer" of R&D funds may also partly explain why U.S. Corporate R&D attributes a much smaller portion of R&D to testing/research services than does SRS (in addition to the fact that many testing and research service firms may be too small for inclusion in top 500 U.S. Corporate R&D firms).

The significantly smaller amount of R&D spending that *U.S. Corporate R&D* attributes to the basic industries and materials sector may be partly because SRS includes many more smaller and private firms. This same factor may contribute also to the very significant lower *U.S. Corporate R&D* amounts attributed to the finance, insurance, real estate, and general services sectors. Additionally, the amount of R&D attributed by *U.S. Corporate R&D* to these last two sectors is reduced by the fact that *Compustat* does not report the R&D expenditures of banks, utilities, and property and casualty companies.



SOURCE: Standard & Poor's Compustat, Englewood, CO

indirect costs);<sup>9</sup> (2) extractive industry activities, such as prospecting, acquisition of mineral rights, drilling, mining, etc.; (3) those engineering expenses directed toward routine, ongoing efforts to define, enrich, or improve the qualities of existing products; (4) inventory royalties; and (5) marketing research and testing. R&D expense is not itemized with respect to banks, utilities, or property and casualty companies.

Compustat's SEC-based definition of R&D is the same as the SRS definition with two exceptions. First, unlike SRS, Compustat's SEC-based data does not exclude social science research. Second, following SEC rules, Compustat data does not include very small R&D amounts that are not material to a firm's decision-making. These differences in definition are unlikely to contribute to any significant differences.

Greater differences, however, may result from the fact that some firms consider certain routine engineering activities as qualified research for inclusion on SRS industry R&D questionnaires, but do not report such activity as R&D to the SEC, which disallows it.<sup>10</sup>

The fact that *Compustat* only includes a given firm's own expenditure on R&D is significant. This means *Compustat* usually attributes R&D funds to the research-funding source, not the final performer of research when it is contracted out to another firm. As a result, reported levels of R&D by industry group are different from what they would be if they were based on the actual locations of final R&D activities. For example, if a manufacturing firm purchases the services of a research and testing firm, those expenses are generally reported under "manufacturing R&D" rather than "services-sector R&D," although the latter would better reflect where R&D is actually performed.

For each firm *Compustat* provides only a single annual R&D expense total. Thus, unlike some other data in *Compustat*, such as net sales, data on separate R&D expenses for a single firm are not available for R&D performed in different geographic regions, such as in the United States

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Given the latitude that exists in firms reporting such information, this may not always be the case, e.g., some firms probably do report federally supported R&D as their own, especially when such support is provided after the R&D was actually performed, as in the case of Federal support for independent R&D.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Bronwyn Hall and William F. Long, "Difference in Reported R&D Data on the NSF/Census RD-1 Form and the SEC 10-K Form: A Micro-Data Investigation," unpublished report to the National Science Foundation, April 1998.

or performed abroad by U.S.-owned subsidiaries. Only a single, combined R&D total is provided for each firm.

### Top 500 R&D Spenders

Based on their latest annual R&D spending, *U.S. Corporate R&D* identifies the top 500 R&D-conducting, publicly held corporations that are headquartered in the states or territories of the United States. The data series excludes the R&D of U.S. subsidiaries owned by corporations headquartered in foreign countries. The top 500-R&D firms in *U.S. Corporate R&D* account for nearly 90 percent of all the R&D spending reported by *Compustat* from more than 3,000 firms. Because the list of top 500-R&D corporations changes from year to year, *U.S. Corporate R&D* uses two distinct 1996 and 1997 lists of top 500 firms for generating and comparing aggregate 1996 and 1997 activity.

Annual changes in the corporate composition and overall R&D content of the top 500 firms *in U.S. Corporate R&D* may be attributed to a variety of factors, such as: (1) firms increasing or decreasing their ordinary and usual R&D expenses as necessary; (2) firms merging or spinning off (such as Lucent from AT&T); (3) U.S.-headquartered firms acquiring other U.S.-headquartered firms, private firms, or foreign firms; (4) private U.S. firms becoming publicly held; and (5) foreign headquartered firms acquiring U.S.-headquartered firms, in which case the latter's R&D is no longer tracked.

### Industrial Sectors

*U.S. Corporate R&D* categorizes individual firms and relates R&D data according to eight "major" industrial sectors and 45 "detailed" industrial sectors. The detailed sectors are similar, but not identical to sectors featured by the SRS data series. <sup>12</sup> The eight major sectors combine detailed sectors into some new, large industrial groups, one of which, information and electronics, includes both

manufacturers and services (communications and software). By categorizing many multifaceted firms into broad industrial categories, these large sectors minimize the misleading effects of labeling firms by a narrower Standard Industrial Code (SIC) and the movement of R&D from one narrow category to another when companies acquire (or spin-off) companies into a different sector. Seven of these eight major sectors conduct significant amounts of R&D and/or include significant numbers of R&D firms among the top 500-R&D spending corporations. The remaining sector—general services; engineering, accounting, and research testing services; finance, insurance and real estate—contains only 10 of the top 500 1997 R&D firms in the *Compustat*, accounting for less than 0.5 percent R&D performed by the top 500.

While generally reflective of the activity or performance in a given sector, sectoral aggregates (including R&D, sales, and employment) should be used with caution. Because *U.S. Corporate R&D* data are tabulated at the enterprise level, all the R&D or other activity pertaining to a single firm are attributed to the major (most relevant) SIC of that firm. This means all the R&D of a given firm is classified under one SIC regardless of how many other SICs may apply to various firm activities and subdivisions. Industrial sector aggregates thus contain activities of many firms and subdivisions that logically should, but cannot be, attributed to other sectors.

Another reason for caution in using sectoral aggregates is that, from year to year, aggregates may rise or fall not only due to trends in the industries themselves, but also due to the acquisition of firms or subsidiaries by other firms. When such acquisitions occur within a single industrial sector, they do not affect year-to-year aggregates. However, aggregates may be significantly affected when major mergers or acquisitions cross industrial sectors. For example, the manufacturing sector was enlarged at the expense of the service sector when IBM acquired Lotus in 1995. Similarly, the spin-off of Lucent from AT&T in 1995 reassigned very significant amounts of R&D from the communications services sector to the communications equipment sector.

### **R&D VALUATION ADJUSTMENTS**

The *U.S. Corporate R&D* data series aims at using a firm's internal, current dollar expenditures to measure actual performance of R&D work (i.e., scientists and engineers doing work on research and development projects). However, when one firm acquires another, *Compustat* generally combines the acquiring firm's internal R&D

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>The list of 500 companies is drawn from *Compustat* data reported on July 31, 1998. While *Compustat* reports latest year data for nearly all large firms by July 31, *Compustat* reports a significant number of smaller firms over the remainder of the calendar year. Some of these late firms may have R&D spending levels equal to or greater than some firms contained in the July 31 list of top 500 R&D spenders.

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$  While the detailed industrial sectors of *U.S. Corporate R&D* and the SRS data series are similar, differences exist in the method of classifying firms by category. Most importantly, in some cases the same firm may be grouped under different industrial categories by the two data series, thereby limiting the comparability of the two series in terms of industry aggregates.

expenditure with write-offs of purchased in-process R&D (IPR&D) and reports these as a single R&D expense. This practice presents difficulties for the *U.S. Corporate R&D* data series. This is because it mixes together acquired R&D, which is often measured according to some estimate of its future value, with R&D that is measured strictly by the current expenditure of dollars.<sup>13</sup>

<sup>13</sup> This distinction arises from existing accounting rules and related policy questions regarding the appropriate valuation of purchased inprocess R&D (IPR&D), and the consistency of accounting treatment between IPR&D and an acquiring firm's own internal R&D expenditure. According to 1974 rules of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), R&D conducted internally should be expensed rather than capitalized (FASB Statement No. 2: Accounting for Research and Development Costs). In a later interpretation, the Board additionally determined that certain forms of R&D that might transfer in a corporate acquisition could also be expensed, including "even a specific research project in process." The extent of the write-off should be determined "from the amount paid by the acquiring enterprise and not from the original cost to the acquired enterprise." The amounts allocated to IPR&D are expensed at the date of consummation of the acquisition, unless the projects have an alternative future use.

In the last several years, amounts attributed to purchased IPR&D have increased, raising questions as to whether the valuations are measuring the fair value of the IPR&D. By increasing IPR&D, acquiring firms reduce their reported levels of assets attributable to goodwill and other intangibles, and thereby improve their returns on equity. While the write-offs reduce net earnings in the year of purchase, they also bolster future earnings, an important gauge to investors of a firm's health. Such write-offs, which are intended to represent the fair value of the acquired in-process technology, may be significantly larger than the amount originally spent on R&D by the acquired firm.

Under generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), goodwill is the remaining value of a company's purchase price after accounting for acquired tangible and identified intangible assets such as IPR&D. Generally, firms wish to avoid recording large amounts of goodwill since it is amortized over its useful life, using periods as long as 40 years, during which earnings per share are reduced annually. Also, most securities analysts subtract goodwill from equity when examining a firm debt-to-equity position.

In a number of high-profile acquisitions, the purchasing firms have written off significant portions of acquisition cost as IPR&D. For example, in 1995 when IBM purchased Lotus Development Corporation it valued the acquired R&D as \$1.800 billion, increasing IBM's total *Compustat* reported R&D from \$3.382 billion in 1994 to \$5.227 billion. Prior to its acquisition, Lotus reported an R&D expenditure of only \$256 million. Similarly, in 1994 and 1995, acquisitions by Computer Associates resulted in the write off of significant portions of acquisition costs as IPR&D, with the result that its *Compustat* reported total R&D increased from \$226 million in 1993 to \$504 million in 1994, and to \$1.607 billion in 1995. The practice is not limited to the purchase of software companies; any company with products under development may have IPR&D to be written off.

As more companies in recent years have written off acquired IPR&D, the Securities & Exchange Commission (SEC) has increased its scrutiny of company IPR&D valuations, and in several cases has forced companies to reduce their write-offs. In addition, the FASB has indicated that it will examine the appropriate accounting treatment for both in-house and acquired R&D.

In order to eliminate potential overstatement of actual R&D expenses, the *U.S. Corporate R&D* series adjusts *Compustat*-reported R&D expenses when IPR&D write-offs do not represent actual dollars spent on R&D in the current year. Firms that may have such write-offs are identified by a combination of filters that look for unusual increases in R&D from year to year, or significant differences in annual percent changes between R&D and net sales, or R&D and employment. The SEC submissions of identified firms are then examined to determine whether an unusual R&D increase is due to either an exceptionally large increase in actual R&D performance or to the write-off of purchased IPR&D.

These screening and adjusting methodologies are not perfect. Some firms are examined based on past activity or other information. However, not all smaller firms are examined and the modest percentage increases of larger firms may contain relatively small IPR&D write-offs. Also, firms that may in the same year write off large amounts of IPR&D and significantly decrease their spending on actual R&D may not be identified, thereby leaving their R&D expenses subsequently unadjusted.

ਹ	
ė	
S	
Q	
<u> </u>	
7	
Ħ	
ŏ	
ĕ	
6	
7	
), employment, and sales of top 500 corporations in R&D, by detailed sect	
≋	
-4	
Щ	
S	
ď	
9	
Ħ	
2	
2	
Ľ	
9	
9	
2	
ᅜ	
õ	
ð	
Ħ	
o	
ĭ	
ď	
ē	
Š	
7	
U	
Ø	
ij	į
Ж	
¥	
F	
6	
ಠ	
Ē	
듄	
ĭ.	
Q	
∞	
2	
7	
\$	
3	
-	
Ĕ	
Ø	
9	
6	
16	
J	
0	
U	
0	
Į.	
둢	
ă	
Ξ	
0	
ن	
$\overline{}$	
16	
0	
Table 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D,	

										Page 1 of 2
		R&D			Tot	Total Employment	t		Total Sales	
			As a	Percent			Percent			Percent
Major & detailed industrial sector			percent	change			change			change
	(In thousand	In thousands of dollars)	of the total	from	Number of employees	employees	from	(In thousand	(In thousands of dollars)	from
	1996	1997	500 in 1997	1996-97	1996	1997	1996–97	1996	1997	1996-97
All of the top 500 firms (across all industries)	102,212,335	111,368,541	100.00	96'8	10,673,673	10,650,175	-0.22	2,567,942,770	2,663,277,692	3.71
Aircraft, guided missiles, & space vehicles	4,177,500	4,672,800	4.20	11.86	736,783	762,298	3.46	115,323,800	130,291,000	12.98
Basic industries & materials	7,963,432	8,355,329	7.50	4.92	2,057,850	2,056,390	-0.07	735,636,514	728,194,509	-1.01
Agr. services; forestry; fishing; mining; construction	183,600	203,300	0.18	10.73	6,620	7,031	6.21	2,108,500	2,235,400	6.02
Fabricated metal products, except machinery & transp. eq	476,244	508,038	0.46	89.9	176,762	172,812	-2.23	31,237,141	31,236,739	0.00
Food & kindred products; tobacco products	1,195,600	1,187,900	1.07	-0.64	457,534	441,424	-3.52	120,006,208	118,903,836	-0.92
Lumber, wood products, & furniture	433,083	543,085	0.49	25.40	162,303	185,603	14.36	31,877,279	34,722,299	8.92
Misc. products (leather, toys, jewelry, musical inst.)	304,429	372,432	0.33	22.34	41,800	42,262	1.11	7,521,780	9,610,072	27.76
Printing, publishing, & allied industries	98,413	159,472	0.14	62.04	19,388	21,447	10.62	3,582,035	3,958,203	10.50
Stone, clay, glass, & concrete products	360,791	412,266	0.37	14.27	80,900	90,200	11.50	13,354,200	15,479,542	15.92
Textile & apparel products	73,449	77,091	0.07	4.96	10,454	10,681	2.17	1,792,945	1,857,134	3.58
Oil & gas extraction; petrol. reflining & related ind	2,367,808	2,474,105	2.22	4.49	494,235	509,180	3.02	402,872,740	394,692,040	-2.03
Paper & allied products	1,462,562	1,515,944	1.36	3.65	287,587	292,113	1.57	63,499,747	65,776,346	3.59
Ferrous metal products	91,200	906'09	0.02	-33.88	41,500	22,000	-46.99	8,494,600	3,745,100	-55.91
Nonferrous metal products	324,503	286,676	0.26	-11.66	126,231	117,002	-7.31	26,194,306	24,699,228	-5.71
Rubber & misc. plastic prod. (tires, plastic footwear)	591,750	554,720	0.50	-6.26	152,536	144,635	-5.18	23,095,033	21,278,570	-7.87
Chemicals	6,531,070	6,821,613	6.13	4.45	667,231	677,803	1.58	209,481,018	209,664,483	0.09
Industrial chem.; plastic & other synthetic materials	4,029,550	4,295,342	3.86	09.9	315,419	312,391	96:0-	120,452,214	117,681,699	-2.30
Other chem. (soaps, ink, paints, fertilizers, explosives)	2,501,520	2,526,271	2.27	0.99	351,812	365,412	3.87	89,028,804	91,982,784	3.32
Engineering, accounting, & research/testing services	125,341	138,309	0.12	10.35	25,800	52,300	102.71	2,922,852	5,624,956	92.45
Finance, insurance, real estate	47,863	81,048	0.07	69.33	1,411	3,505	148.41	301,510	1,362,427	351.87
General services	180,118	207,689	0.19	15.31	1,232,349	905,843	-26.49	68,547,624	60,376,709	-11.92
Other (noncomputer) bus. serv (advertising, equip. rental)	25,394	52,582	0.02	107.06	543	1,620	198.34	1,669	76,449	4480.53
Transportation; freight & warehousing; & pipeline services	55,500	000'89	0.06	22.52	885,871	892,873	0.79	56,402,000	58,216,000	3.22
Wholesale & retail trade	99,224	87,107	0.08	-12.21	345,935	11,350	-96.72	12,143,955	2,084,260	-82.84
See SOURCE at end of table										

able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment, and sales of top 500 corporations in R&D, by detailed sector
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment, and sales of top 500 corporations in R&D, by detailed sect
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment, and sales of top 500 corporations in R&D, by detailed s
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment, and sales of top 500 corporations in R&D, by detaile
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment, and sales of top 500 corporations in R&D, by deta
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment, and sales of top 500 corporations in R&D, by d
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment, and sales of top 500 corporations in R&D, b
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment, and sales of top 500 corporations in R&D
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment, and sales of top 500 corporations in R
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment, and sales of top 500 corporations in
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment, and sales of top 500 corporation
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment, and sales of top 500 corporati
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment, and sales of top 500 corpol
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment, and sales of top 500 cor
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment, and sales of top 500 $^{ m d}$
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment, and sales of top $50$
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment, and sales of top
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment, and sales of
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment, and sales
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment, and sal
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment, and
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment, a
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employment
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, employm
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, emplo
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, em
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&D, $\epsilon$
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 R&I
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 I
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and 199
able 1. Comparison of 1996 and
able 1. Comparison of 1996 ar
able 1. Comparison of 199
able 1. Comparison of 1
able 1. Comparison d
able 1. Compariso
able 1. Compari
able 1. Comp
able 1. Co
able 1.
able
ल

										Page 2 of 2
		R&D	•			Employment			Sales	
			As a	Percent			Percent			Percent
Major & detailed industrial sector			percent	change			change			change
	(In thousands of dollars)	s of dollars)	of the total	from	(Number of employees)	employees)	from	(In thousands of dollars)	s of dollars)	from
	1996	1997	500 in 1997	1996–97	1996	1997	1996–97	1996	1997	1996–97
Information & electronics	39,774,093	45,823,950	41.15	15.21	2,678,550	2,797,403	4.44	618,813,972	656,846,593	6.15
Calculating/accounting mach. & office machines, nec	206,063	210,468	0.19	2.14	42,315	43,869	3.67	6,257,579	6,650,241	6.27
Communicatons serv. (phone, satellite, radio/TV, cable)	1,182,833	1,053,727	0.95	-10.91	302,156	244,448	-19.10	87,070,125	74,768,322	-14.13
Computer integrated systems design	364,923	431,256	0.39	18.18	21,546	33,090	53.58	3,483,351	5,706,732	63.83
Computer networking communications equip.	1,882,810	2,620,503	2.35	39.18	36,212	42,863	18.37	15,756,577	20,849,561	32.32
Computer peripheral equip. (printers, scanners)	246,176	325,349	0.29	32.16	14,162	14,294	0.93	4,003,665	4,774,453	19.25
Computer storage devices	2,466,070	2,607,477	2.34	5.73	186,431	211,348	13.37	38,747,320	40,661,287	4.94
Electronic components (semiconductors, coils)	5,668,425	6,648,235	5.97	17.29	321,588	340,356	5.84	66,209,820	72,429,514	6.39
Electronic computers & computer terminals	10,077,693	11,093,953	96.6	10.08	508,192	558,862	6.97	176,569,828	192,432,594	8.98
Household audio & video equipment, & audio recordings	835,871	229,751	0.21	-72.51	110,269	34,784	-68.46	18,420,195	7,801,494	-57.65
Laboratory controlling & measuring instruments	1,993,705	2,423,390	2.18	21.55	221,193	257,279	16.31	34,187,521	37,567,392	68.6
Modems & other wired telephone equipment	2,732,411	4,010,990	3.60	46.79	156,116	167,125	7.05	23,960,981	33,740,146	40.81
Multiple & miscellaneous computer & data										
processing services	515,211	540,442	0.49	4.90	42,470	42,567	0.23	6,345,929	6,885,293	8.50
Opthalmic goods, photogrph. equp. & clocks	2,546,710	2,616,313	2.35	2.73	216,101	223,806	3.57	40,448,717	39,586,587	-2.13
Prepackaged software	5,266,971	6,619,062	5.94	25.67	136,782	160,331	17.22	34,517,217	44,120,965	27.82
Radio, TV, cell phone, & satellite communication eq	3,122,671	3,625,457	3.26	16.10	204,617	215,681	5.41	38,360,636	41,264,513	7.57
Search & navigation equipment	992,550	767,577	69:0	15.33	158,400	206,700	30.49	24,474,511	27,607,499	12.80
Machinery & electrical equipment	6,387,141	7,038,500	6.32	10.20	1,063,117	1,162,838	9.38	229,905,228	247,446,687	7.63
Electrical equipment (industrial & household)	3,000,095	3,070,656	2.76	2.35	519,786	532,873	2.52	121,703,964	126,878,992	4.25
Machinery (indus., farm, services, mining & construction)	3,387,046	3,967,844	3.56	17.15	543,331	629,965	15.94	108,201,264	120,567,695	11.43
Medical substances & devices	17,767,772	19,849,094	17.82	11.71	664,202	682,214	2.71	154,312,901	167,633,078	8.63
Drugs: biological products, except diagnostic substances	1,231,684	1,504,594	1.35	22.16	12,411	15,235	22.75	3,501,925	4,106,957	17.28
Drugs: in vitro, in vivo diagnostic substances	542,086	592,959	0.53	9.38	19,033	15,606	-18.01	3,521,965	3,091,440	-12.22
Drugs: pharmaceutical preparations	14,105,088	15,733,395	14.13	11.54	499,680	514,369	2.94	125,973,138	137,359,581	9.04
Medical instruments	1,888,914	2,018,146	1.81	6.84	133,078	137,004	2.95	21,315,873	23,075,100	8.25
Motor vehicles & other surface transportation equipment	19,258,005	18,380,209	16.50	-4.56	1,546,380	1,549,581	0.21	432,697,351	455,837,250	5.32
Ships, trains, motorcycles, bicycles, campers, military tanks	232,470	286,808	0.26	23.37	72,870	85,060	16.73	11,380,938	12,868,704	13.07
Motor vehicles & motor vehicle equipment	19,025,535	18,093,401	16.25	-4.90	1,473,510	1,464,521	-0.61	421,316,413	442,968,546	5.14
KEY: nec Not elsewhere classified.										
<b>SOURCE:</b> Standard and Poor's Compustat, Englewood, CO.										

Table 2. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 capital expenditure, R&D/sales ratio, and R&D/employment ratio of top 500 corporations in R&D, by detailed sector

Page 1 of 2

			<u>-</u>		•		Page 1 of 2
	Ö.	Capital expenditure					
			Change from	R&D/sales ratio	es ratio	R&D/employment	oloyment
Major & detailed industrial sector	1996	1997	1996–97	1996	1997	1996	1997
	(In thousands of dollars)	of dollars)	(Percent)	(Percent)	ent)	(Dollars per employee)	employee)
Top 500 corporations (across all industries)	199,057,903	209,635,382	5.31	3.98	4.18	9,576	10,457
Aircraft, guided missiles & space vehicles	3,686,100	4,449,900	20.72	3.62	3.59	5,670	6,130
Basic industries & materials.	50,392,908	51,713,149	2.62	1.08	1.15	3,870	4,063
Agr. services; forestry; fishing; mining; construction	146,700	186,400	27.06	8.71	60.6	27,734	28,915
Fabricated metal products, except machinery & transp. eq	2,044,074	2,076,520	1.59	1.52	1.63	2,694	2,940
Food & kindred products; tobacco products	4,939,533	4,664,939	-5.56	1.00	1.00	2,613	2,691
Lumber, wood products, & furniture	2,079,671	1,439,200	-30.80	1.36	1.56	2,668	2,926
Misc. products (leather, toys, jewelry, musical inst.)	382,531	421,955	10.31	4.05	3.88	7,283	8,812
Printing, publishing, & allied industries	272,158	395,504	45.32	2.75	4.03	5,076	7,436
Stone, clay, glass, & concrete products	1,434,758	1,598,779	11.43	2.70	2.66	4,460	4,571
Textile & apparel products	108,767	113,793	4.62	4.10	4.15	7,026	7,218
Oil & gas extraction; petrol. refining & related ind	30,542,101	33,025,107	8.13	0.59	0.63	4,791	4,859
Paper & allied products	4,908,441	5,102,898	3.96	2.30	2.30	2,086	5,190
Ferrous metal products	347,600	96,300	-72.30	1.07	1.61	2,198	2,741
Nonferrous metal products	1,768,670	1,370,489	-22.51	1.24	1.16	2,571	2,450
Rubber & misc. plastic prod. (tires, plastic footwear)	1,417,904	1,221,265	-13.87	2.56	2.61	3,879	3,835
Chemicals.	15,979,555	16,409,997	2.69	3.12	3.25	6,788	10,064
Industrial chem.; plastic & other synthetic materials	10,907,622	11,681,488	7.09	3.35	3.65	12,775	13,750
Other chem. (soaps, ink, paints, fertilizers, explosives)	5,071,933	4,728,509	-6.77	2.81	2.75	7,110	6,913
Engineering, accounting, & research/testing services	133,190	163,012	22.39	4.29	2.46	4,858	2,645
Finance, insurance, real estate	37,133	258,815	296.99	15.87	5.95	33,921	23,124
General services	3,061,709	3,339,130	90.6	0.26	0.34	146	229
Other (noncomputer) bus. serv (advertising, equip. rental)	44,956	62,998	40.13	1521.51	88.78	46,766	32,458
Transportation; freight & warehousing; & pipeline services	2,339,600	3,233,000	38.19	0.10	0.12	63	76
Wholesale & retail trade	677,153	43,132	(93.63)	0.82	4.18	287	7,675
See SOURCE at end of table							

Table 2. Comparison of 1996 and 1997 capital expenditure, R&D/sales ratio, and R&D/employment ratio of top 500 corporations in R&D, by detailed sector

Page 2 of 2

4,311 13,033 6,605 9,419 12,696 3,713 5,762 6,299 37,996 3,372 51,137 22,761 12,337 19,533 19,851 24,000 11,690 41,284 16,809 6,053 29,095 98,759 12,354 30,588 11,861 (Dollars per employee) R&D/employment 4,870 3,915 17,626 9,013 38,506 4,202 900'9 5,772 16,937 51,994 17,383 13,228 19,830 7,580 17,502 12,131 11,785 15,261 6,234 26,751 99,241 28,481 28,228 3,190 12,912 1996 9.18 15.00 8.79 19.18 11.45 11.89 11.84 36.64 6.81 6.41 5.77 2.94 6.45 7.85 6.61 2.84 4.08 1997 R&D/sales ratio (Percent 8.56 5.83 11.40 8.12 6.30 15.26 2.47 35.17 15.39 4.54 2.04 1996 -18.89 -6.15 15.33 -1.65 7.59 37.14 2.57 4.95 -1.19 -7.26 12.67 22.14 32.68 9.07 2.60 192.51 .24.23 16.40 6.84 6.11 Change from (Percent) 1996-97 Capital expenditure 556,665 167,816 414,479 380,542 913,803 1,035,678 855,066 564,931 207,474 1,503,436 53,624,756 12,676,013 2,076,732 1,249,167 1,491,962 ,665,022 2,199,054 2,391,037 2,074,568 3,659,526 17,281,298 0,702,407 0,648,623 3,372,782 51,746,702 51,190,037 374,291 6,578,891 (In thousands of dollars) 1997 308,176 362,873 130,094 221,473 404,093 53,018,113 734,568 462,530 3,555,977 1,126,597 2,004,239 1,985,814 9,964,264 1,053,054 1,603,558 2,278,349 2,099,650 3,945,943 5,337,480 10,548,717 9,966,717 ,890,949 ,305,062 16,902,438 1,547,567 4,788,763 17,444,998 542,560 Radio, TV, cell phone, & satellite communication eq....... Search & navigation equipment....... Electronic components (semiconductors, coils...)..... Opthalmic goods, photogrph. equp. & clocks...... Household audio & video equipment, & audio recordings....... Multiple & miscellaneous computer & data processing services. Modems & other wired telephone equipment....... Machinery & electrical equipment..... Ships, trains, motorcycles, bicycles, campers, military tanks. Calculating/accounting mach. & office machines, nec...... Motor vehicles & other surface transportation equipment... Medical instruments..... Communicatons serv. (phone, satellite, radio/TV, cable...). Drugs: in vitro, in vivo diagnostic substances...... Drugs: biological products, except diagnostic substances. Machinery (indus., farm, services, mining & construction) Computer networking communications equip. ...... Computer peripheral equip. (printers, scanners...).. Laboratory controlling & measuring instruments.... Major & detailed industrial sector Computer storage devices...... Drugs: pharmaceutical preparations..... Electronic computers & computer terminals...... Electrical equipment (industrial & household). Medical substances & devices..... Motor vehicles & motor vehicle equipment. Computer integrated systems design..... nec -- Not elsewhere classified. nformation & electronics..... Prepackaged software..... KEY:

SOURCE: Standard and Poor's Compustat, Englewood, CO.

Table 3. Comparison between top 500 corporate R&D levels and industry-survey R&D levels: 1997 Page 1 of 2 Corporate R&D Corporate Industry (top 500) as R&D 1997 survey a percent of Standard industrial (top 500) 1997 R&D industry-(In millions of dollars) survey R&D classification Major & detailed industrial sector1 (In millions of dollars) 111,369 133,611 83.35 Total..... Aircraft, guided missiles, & space vehicles..... 372,376 4,673 5,677 82.31 Basic industries & materials..... 07-12.14-17 Agr. services; forestry; fishing; mining; construction..... 203 1.541 13.19 Oil & gas extraction; petroleum reflining & related ind..... 2.474 153.48 13.29 1.612 20.21 Food & kindred products: tobacco products..... 1.188 1.787 66.47 Textile & apparel products..... 22.23 77 476 16.20 24,25 Lumber, wood products, & furniture..... 543 348 156.06 Paper & allied products..... 1,516 26 1,456 104.12 159 27 Printing, publishing, & allied industries..... 2,642 20.13 31.39 Misc products (leather, toys, jewlry, musicl inst..)..... 372 30 Rubber & misc. plastic prod. (tires, plastic footwear...)..... 555 1.372 40.43 32 Stone, clay, glass, & concrete products..... 412 68.03 606 Ferrous metal products..... 60 414 14.57 33-332,3398-99 333-336 Nonferrous metal products..... 287 353 81.21 34 Fabricated metal products, except machinery & transp, equip.... 508 1.669 30.44 Chemicals..... 6,822 7.042 96.87 2800,281-82,286 Industrial chemicals; plastic & other synthetic materials..... 4,295 4,970 86.43 284-85,287-89 Other chem. (soaps, ink, paints, fertilizers, explosives...)...... 2,526 2,072 121.92 873 Engineering, accounting, & research/testing services..... 138 5,909 2.34 60-65,67 Finance, insurance, real estate..... 81 1,500 5.40 General services..... 208 10,256 2.03 40-42,44-47 Transportation; freight & warehousing; & pipeline services...... 68 670 10.15 258 Electric, gas, sanitary services..... 0 0.00 50-59 Wholesale & retail trade..... 87 7,961 1.09 Other (noncomputer) bus. serv (advertising, equip. rental...)..... 53 242 21.73 731-736,738 701,72,75-79,81, Lodging, repair, legal, social, consultg, & oth serv; movie prod... 0 446 0.00 83-84,89 801-809 Hospitals & health care-related laboratories & services..... 0 679 0.00 Information & electronics..... 45,824 50,981 89.88 Electronic computers & computer terminals..... 11.094 3571,3575 Computer storage devices..... 3572 2,607 Computer networking communications equip..... 2,621 12,787 3576 (Compustat code) 131.84 325 3577 Computer peripheral equip. (printers, scanners...)..... 3578-79 Calculating/accounting mach. & office machines, nec..... 210 230 365 Household audio & video equipment, & audio recordings....... 152 151.15 Modems & other wired telephone equip..... 4.011 3661 7,377 103.52 3663,3669 Radio, TV, cell phone, & satellite comm. equip..... 3,625 Electronic components (semiconductors, coils...)..... 6,648 10,786 61.64

See explanatory information and SOURCE at end of table

Table	e 3. Comparison between top 500 corporate R&D levels ar	nd industry-survey	R&D levels: 1997	
				Page 2 of 2
				Corporate R&D
		Corporate	Industry	(top 500) as
		R&D 1997	survey	a percent of
Standard industrial		(top 500)	1997 R&D	industry-
classification	Major & detailed industrial sector	(In millions of dollars)	(In millions of dollars)	survey R&D
	Information & electronics—continued			
381	Search & navigation equip		3,719	85.80
382	Lab. controllling & measuring instru.	2,423		
386–387	Opthalmic goods, photogrph. equp. & clocks <sup>1</sup>	2,616	2,958	88.44
	Communications services (telephone, satellite tracking,			
481–484,489	radio/TV, cable)		1,884	55.93
7370,7371,7374–5	Multiple & miscel. computer & data processing services			
7372	Prepackaged software	· ·	11,318	67.07
7373	Computer integrated systems design	431		
	Machinery & electrial equipment	7,039	10,038	70.12
351-56,358-59	Machinery (industl, farm, service ind., mining & constructn)	3,968	5,606	70.78
361–64,369	Electrical equipment (industrial & household)	3,071	4,432	69.28
	Medical substances & devices	19,849	13,868	143.13
2833	Drugs: medicinal chemicals, botanical products	0		
2834	Drugs: pharmaceutical preparations	15,733	11,586	153.90
2835	Drugs: in vitro, in vivo diagnostic substances	593	11,300	155.90
2836	Drugs: biological products, except diagnostic substances	1,505		
3841–5	Medical instruments <sup>1</sup>	2,018	2,282	88.44
	Motor vehicles & other surface transportation equipment	18,380	14,065	130.68
371	Motor vehicles & motor vehicle equipment	18,093	13,758	131.51
373–75,379	Ships, trains, motorcyc, bicycles, campers, miltry tanks	287	307	93.42
	{Classified Differently by Industry Survey}			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the industry survey, some of these detailed sectors are consolidated into a single data item, as indicated by the horizontal lines in the table, displaying a single entry for more than one group of detailed sectors.

N/A

5,240

N/A

Opthalmic goods, photogrph. equp. & clocks<sup>2</sup>.....

Medical instruments<sup>2</sup>....\_\_\_\_

**KEY:** N/A -- Not applicable.

384-87

nec -- Not elsewhere classified.

**SOURCE:** Standard and Poor's Compustat, Englewood, CO; and National Science Foundation/Division of Science Resources Studies, *Research and Development in Industry 1997*, Detailed Statistical Tables, by Raymond M. Wolfe (Arlington, VA, forthcoming).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Amounts for industry survey are prorated estimates based on the Corporate R&D data, which were done in order to estimate major sector totals.